

## Erratum: Electronic ground state of heavily overdoped nonsuperconducting $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [Phys. Rev. B **68**, 100502 (2003)]

S. Nakamae, K. Behnia, N. Mangkorntong, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, S. J. C. Yates, and N. E. Hussey  
(Received 19 May 2009; published 24 June 2009)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.219904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.219904)

PACS number(s): 74.72.Dn, 72.15.Eb, 99.10.Cd

In recent electron probe microanalysis of the samples described in our manuscript, the Sr content was found to be  $x = 0.33 \pm 0.01$  and not 0.30. The correct chemical formula is thus  $\text{La}_{1.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{CuO}_4$ . This places the compound slightly further away from the superconducting region of the  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  phase diagram, but otherwise has no effect on any of the conclusions of the original paper. In a subsequent paper,<sup>1</sup> the sample labeled  $x=0.33$  refers to the same compound reported in this manuscript. In three other recent articles, the Hall,<sup>2</sup> Nernst,<sup>3</sup> and thermopower<sup>4</sup> data reported for  $x=0.30$  should be relabeled  $x=0.33$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>R. A. Cooper, Y. Wang, B. Vignolle, O. J. Lipscombe, S. M. Hayden, Y. Tanabe, T. Adachi, Y. Koike, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, C. Proust, and N. E. Hussey, *Science* **323**, 603 (2009).

<sup>2</sup>A. Narduzzo, G. Albert, M. M. J. French, N. Mangkorntong, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and N. E. Hussey, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**,

220502(R) (2008).

<sup>3</sup>K. Behnia, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 113101 (2009).

<sup>4</sup>R. Daou, O. Cyr-Choinière, F. Laliberté, D. LeBoeuf, N. Doiron-Leyraud, J.-Q. Yan, J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, and L. Taillefer, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 180505(R) (2009).